#### Page **1** of **7**

# ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

#### Present-

The Hon'ble Smt. Urmita Datta (Sen), Member (J)

& The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar, Member (A)

#### Case No <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and	Arpan De Sarkar –Vs- The State of West Bengal & Others. Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
8	For the Applicant: Mrs. S. Mitra,	
9.10.2018	Advocate.	
	For the Respondents : Mr. S. Bhattacharjee,	
	Ms. R. Sarkar, (Departmental Representatives)	
	Land & Land Reforms Deptt.	
	The instant application has been filed challenging the	
	impugned order dated 19.02.2016 which was passed in	
	pursuance to the direction of this Tribunal dated 19.01.2016	
	in O.A. No. 472/2015. As per the applicant, his father died	
	on 19.03.2010 leaving his wife, son and daughter.	
	Thereafter, the applicant applied for compassionate	
	appointment before the authority on 19.05.2011. However,	
	though his case was considered by Three-men Enquiry	
	Committee and was also recommended by them but	
	subsequently, his case was rejected vide communicated order	
	dated 12.04.2012 but subsequently the proposal for	
	employment assistance on compassionate ground was	
	rejected by 12th September, 2014. Being aggrieved with, he	
	had filed one O.A. No. 472/2015 which was disposed of vide	
	order dated 19.01.2015 by setting aside the rejection order	
	dated 12.09.2014 with a direction to the authority to	
	consider the prayer of compassionate appointment on the	
	basis of existing materials without being guided by the	
	question of delay. In pursuance to the said instant	
	impugned order whereby the case of the applicant has been	

Arpan De Sarkar

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary
1		3
	rejected on the ground that the petitioner does not satisfy the	
	prime condition of immediate need for employment	
	assistance. Being aggrieved with, the applicant has filed the	
	instant application. As per the applicant, though the	
	applicant earlier approached this Tribunal and this Tribunal	
	had set aside the rejection order and had asked the	
	respondent to consider his case on the basis of Three-men	
	Enquiry Committee's report. However, the respondent	
	again on the same ground rejected the claim of the applicant.	
	According to the applicant, the ground of rejection of non-	
	fulfilling of immediate need for employment assistance is	
	contrary to the Three-men Enquiry Committee's report.	
	Therefore, the rejection order is liable to be set aside.	
	We have heard the parties and perused the records. It	
	is noted that the earlier occasion the respondents had	
	rejected the claim of the applicant on the ground of delay	
	beyond six months and this Tribunal while deciding the case	
	has observed and directed inter alia	
	"In our opinion, there is substance in the	
	submission of the D.R. for the L. & L.R.	
	Department, but the issue is required to be	
	viewed from a different angle. Even though	
	admittedly the Application was filed beyond	
	the prescribed period (19.05.2011) whereas the	

Form No

Arpan De Sarkar

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Carriel Ne. and		Office action with date
Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature	and dated signature
1	Z	of parties when necessary 3
	death of the bread-winner of the family took	
	place on 19.06.2010, instead of out-right	
	rejection of the same, the Authority	
	perpetuated the issue almost to its final stage.	
	A Three-men Enquiry Committee was	
	held and all other necessary steps were taken	
	for processing the prayer for compassionate	
	appointment.	
	Apart from the fact that the issue was	
	practically reaching its logical conclusion, the	
	action of the Authority in continuing with the	
	process even though it was time barred is	
	acquiescence by necessary implication.	
	Accordingly, we are of the view once the	
	Authorities have proceeded to near finality,	
	after observing the formalities, abandoning the	
	process at this state was not proper.	
	Accordingly we set aside the memo No.	
	118 / 1613 / BI / 2012 / 6 dated 12 <sup>th</sup> September	
	2014 on behalf of the D.L.R. & Surveys and	
	Joint Land Reforms Commissioner, West	
	Bengal and direct him to consider the prayer	
	for compassionate appointment afresh on the	
	basis of existing materials without being	
	guided by the question of delay.	

Arpan De Sarkar

....

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date and dated signature
Date of order. 1	2	of parties when necessary
<b>⊥</b>	He will take a decision in respect of the	3
	prayer for compassionate appointment within	
	a period of six weeks from the date of	
	communication of this order and thereafter	
	forthwith convey his decision to the Applicant.	
	The Application is accordingly disposed	
	of."	
	However, from the perusal of the order, it is noted that	
	the respondents Director of Land Records & Surveys and	
	Joint Land Reforms Commissioner, West Bengal has	
	observed and inter alia	
	"On receiving the said prayer a three man	
	committee was formed by the District	
	Authority to conduct the necessary enquiry.	
	<i>Two reports dated 04.11.2011 and 23.08.2013</i>	
	were submitted by the said committee. Both	
	the reports were forwarded to Land and Land	
	Reforms Department via this Directorate of	
	Land Records and Surveys. In response to	
	that, after careful consideration, the Land and	
	Land Reforms Department expressed its	
	inability to accede to the proposal as the said	
	application has been submitted after	
	14(fourteen) months from the date of death of	
	the deceased employee.	

Arpan De Sarkar

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
<b>_</b>	Considering the above facts and	3
	Ŭ ,	
	circumstances it appears that the family of the	
	deceased employee has survived 14 (fourteen)	
	long months i.e. from 19.03.2010 to	
	19.05.2011 without having any employment	
	assistance which means the family of the	
	deceased was not at all in need of immediate	
	assistance. In terms of Labour Department's	
	notification number 30-EMP, dated Kolkata,	
	the 2 <sup>nd</sup> April, 2008 and subsequent	
	notification number 251-EMP, dated Kolkata,	
	the 3 <sup>rd</sup> December, 2013 one of the dependents	
	of a Government Employee who dies in	
	harness or who retires prematurely on being	
	declared permanently incapacitated may be	
	offered appointment on compassionate ground	
	if the family of the deceased of the retired	
	employee as the case may be is in need of	
	immediate assistance.	
	Therefore upon hearing the petitioner	
	and considering the guideline provided by the	
	aforesaid notifications of Labour Department I	
	find that the case of the petitioner does not	
	satisfy the prime condition of immediate need	
	for employment assistance.	

Arpan De Sarkar

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature
1	_	of parties when necessary
	Hence, order that the prayer of the	
	petitioner are considered and rejected.	
	The petitioner and the District Land and	
	Land Reforms Officer, Burdwan be informed	
	accordingly.	
	Thus the order of the Hon'ble West	
	Bengal Administrative Tribunal dated	
	19.01.2016 passed in O.A. No. 472 of 2015 is	
	complied with."	
	From perusal of the above, it is noted though the	
	respondents earlier order was rejected by this Tribunal,	
	however again the respondent authority on the same issue	
	had rejected the claim of the applicant on the same ground	
	<i>i.e.</i> no need of immediate assistance, though the Three-men	
	Enquiry Committee's report is in favour of the applicant,	
	which was not even being controverted by the deciding	
	authority. Further though the authority has mentioned	
	Labour Department's EMP-30 dated 02.04.2008 as well as	
	EMP-251 dated 03.12.2103 where two years time has been	
	stipulated for consideration even then on contrary to the	
	said fact the authority had opined that the applicant has no	
	need of financial assistance immediately since he	
	approached the respondents after six months.	
	In view of the above, we are of the opinion that the	

Arpan De Sarkar

••••

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No. <u>OA - 527 of 2016</u>

Serial No. and Date of order.	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary
1	contraction of the authority is call contradictory as well as	3
	contention of the authority is self-contradictory as well as	
	contrary to the observations / direction of this Tribunal's	
	earlier order dated 19.01.2015 passed in O.A. No.	
	472/2015. Accordingly, we quash and set aside the order	
	dated 19.02.2016 and directing the respondent No. 1 to	
	reconsider the case of the applicant keeping in view of the	
	observations as well as Three-men Committee's Report and	
	to pass a reasoned and speaking order as per relevant	
	circular and take a decision and communicate the same	
	within a period of three months from the receipt of the	
	order. Accordingly, the O.A. is disposed of with the above	
	observation and direction with no order as to cost.	
	P. RAMESH KUMAR URMITA DATTA (SEN) MEMBER (A) MEMBER (J)	
A.K.P.		
<u>.</u>		